Pierre GENEVIER
18 rue des Canadiens, app. 227
86000 Poitiers
Tel.: 09 80 73 50 18; mobile: 07 82 85 41 63; email: pierre.genevier@laposte.net.

Mr Khalilur Rahman, President of the General Assembly (opga@un.org)

The Representatives of the Member States of the General Assembly

Ms Sanita Pavļuta-Deslandes, President of the Security Council (mission.un-ny@mfa.gov.lv)

The Representatives of the Member States of the Security Council

Mr Stavros Lambrinidis, Representative of the European Union to the United Nations (delegation-new-york@eeas.europa.eu)

Mr Mohamed Fathi Ahmed Edrees, Representative of the African Union to the United Nations (AU-Newyork@AfricanUnion.org)

Mr Osama Mahmoud Abdelkhalek Mahmoud, Permanent Observer of the League of Arab States (las.po.un@gmail.com)

Poitiers, 15 November 2026

Subject: My candidacy for the position of Secretary-General: complaint for the crime against humanity of persecution linked to legal aid in France, and updated version of Summary Note No. 6.

PDF available: French version http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let7-UNSC-FR-15-11-26.pdf;
English version http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let7-UNSC-EN-15-11-26.pdf.

Excellencies, Ladies and Gentlemen,

1. I am writing to you again following my letters of 25 June 2026 (Att. 0; EN Att. 0.1), 21 July 2026 (Att. 0.2; EN Att. 0.3), 15 August 2026 (Att. 0.4; EN Att. 0.5), 15 September 2026 (Att. 0.6; EN Att. 0.7) and 1 October 2026 (Att. 0.8; EN Att. 0.9). The first three presented six cross-analyses between my platform and various UN, regional and thematic reports, and addressed several issues related to the implementation of my six proposals; the following two contained the detailed description of these proposals (Summary Notes No. 1 to 6). The purpose of this new letter is to present to you (1) the current version of Summary Note No. 6, updated after my letter of 1 October 2026 was sent, (2) the complaint for the crime against humanity of persecution linked to the problems of legal aid (LA), and (3) a few comments on these two documents, on Summary Note No. 1 and on the ongoing process of selecting the next Secretary-General. I am also writing to renew my availability to be nominated as a candidate for the position of Secretary-General by any Member State that wishes to do so.

2. I am first sending you the latest version of Summary Note No. 6 (Att. 1; EN Att. 1.2), concerning the search for an alternative to market capitalism. It is dated 11 October 2026 (previous version dated 26 September 2026) and contains new elements in section 3.2 as well as a new workstream in section 3.4 (Workstream G on the specification of global software applications for cost estimation and objective tracking). I sent a copy of this new note to some of you when I contacted you by email to encourage you to reconsider nominating me for the position of Secretary-General; you may therefore already have received it, in which case I invite you to disregard this copy.

3. I would also like to make a brief comment on Summary Note No. 1, concerning the creation of the new international organization (IO) responsible, among other things, for the governance of the Internet and AI. I have worked on the question of the initial ("one-time") cost of this creation, which must notably cover the buyout of the commercial activities of certain entities managing domain names, such as Verisign. In addition, some countries, such as Tuvalu, derive significant revenue from the sale of domain names using their country-code extension (.tv for Tuvalu), revenue that contributes to their development. The new IO would take over the management of all domain names and introduce a new pricing system; these countries would therefore risk losing this revenue in the long term.

4. This would obviously be an injustice, one that should be corrected at least until the benefits of the new IO for these countries materialize and exceed the revenue or advantages they derived from the old system. I am therefore working on a transition system that would compensate not only the companies currently managing domain names, but also the countries that currently benefit from their country-code extension to finance their development; I will discuss this in a forthcoming note. On this subject, I sent the latest versions of Summary Notes No. 1 and No. 2 to the President and Chief Executive Officer of ICANN, Mr Kurtis Lindqvist, to obtain his views on the new Internet governance I am proposing. To date, I have not received any comments from him. If he sends me comments, I will add them to the note, naturally specifying his contribution, before forwarding it to you.

5. When I spoke by telephone with the Ambassador and Permanent Representative of Tuvalu, H.E. Mr Tapugao Falefou, last March, to present the platform and my candidacy to him, I had not yet studied the question of the initial cost in detail; I had therefore not foreseen that reading Proposals No. 1 and No. 2 might cause him concern about the loss of significant revenue for his country. I regret not having raised this question at the time. I wish to assure H.E. Mr Falefou, as well as the permanent representatives of the other countries concerned, that I am seeking solutions that would cause them no harm.

6. I next send you a recent version of my complaint for the crime against humanity of persecution linked to the maintenance and use of the LA law in France (Att. 2; EN Att. 2.2), which is of interest to all Member States: well documented and targeting the top leaders of a country, it illustrates a structural risk that any legal aid system may present. This document is useful to my candidacy and to the selection process for the next Secretary-General, notably to confirm the importance and usefulness of Proposal No. 3 of the platform, concerning the development of a new LA system. Indeed, the complaint shows how the slightest flaw in a legal aid system can be exploited, by actors with an interest in misusing it, to subvert the system's primary purpose, which is to guarantee the poor an effective remedy and a fair trial, and to facilitate the corruption of society, with serious consequences for the country and for the international community. Maintaining such a system hampers progress in this area, at both the national and international levels.

7. I am also presenting this complaint in the hope that it will encourage France to take corrective measures, without this hindering the examination of my proposals, whose benefits concern all countries, including France and the EU. I am not aware of a ninth straw poll having been held by the Security Council; it therefore seems that this letter and my candidacy remain relevant. I remain available to be nominated as a candidate by any Member State that considers that my platform of six proposals deserves to be brought before the General Assembly and the Security Council. Such a

nomination does not imply endorsing all of my proposals or my complaint, and I would be happy to present this work to any delegation that would like it.

8. Please accept, Excellencies, Ladies and Gentlemen, the assurances of my highest consideration.

Pierre Genevier

Attachments:

Att. 0: letter of 25 June 2026 (FR): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let2-UNSC-FR-25-6-26.pdf
Att. 0.1 (EN): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let2-UNSC-EN-25-6-26.pdf

Att. 0.2: letter of 21 July 2026 (FR): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let3-UNSC-FR-21-7-26.pdf
Att. 0.3 (EN): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let3-UNSC-EN-21-7-26.pdf

Att. 0.4: letter of 15 August 2026 (FR): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let4-UNSC-FR-15-8-26.pdf
Att. 0.5 (EN): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let4-UNSC-EN-15-8-26.pdf

Att. 0.6: letter of 15 September 2026 (FR): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let5-UNSC-FR-15-9-26.pdf
Att. 0.7 (EN): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let5-UNSC-EN-15-9-26.pdf

Att. 0.8: letter of 1 October 2026 (FR): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let6-UNSC-FR-1-10-26.pdf
Att. 0.9 (EN): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let6-UNSC-EN-1-10-26.pdf

Att. 1: Summary Note No. 6 of 11 October 2026 (FR): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop6-11-10-26.pdf
Att. 1.2 (EN): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop6-11-10-26-EN.pdf

Att. 2: complaint for crime against humanity (FR): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/plainte-CPI-persecut-AJ-11-11-26-v6.pdf
Att. 2.2 (EN): http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/plainte-CPI-persecut-AJ-11-11-26-EN-v6.pdf