

Pierre GENEVIER
18 Rue des Canadiens, Apt. 227
86000 Poitiers, France
Tel.: +33 9 80 73 50 18; Mob.: +33 7 82 85 41 63; Email: pierre.genevier@laposte.net.

Mr. Khalilur Rahman, President of the General Assembly (opga@un.org)
Ladies and Gentlemen, Representatives of the Member States of the General Assembly
Ms. Aglaia Balta, President of the Security Council (grmission.unsc@mfa.gr)
Ladies and Gentlemen, Representatives of the Member States of the Security Council
Mr. Stavros Lambrinidis, Representative of the European Union to the UN (delegation-new-york@eeas.europa.eu)
Mr. Mohamed Fathi Ahmed Edrees, Representative of the African Union to the UN (AU-Newyork@AfricanUnion.org)
Ms. Nasria Elardja Flitti, Deputy Permanent Observer, LAS (ny.un@las.int, las.po.un@gmail.com)

Poitiers, 1 October 2026

Subject: My candidacy for the position of Secretary-General: updated summary notes on proposals nos. 1 and 3 to 6, and written responses to the informal dialogues

PDF available at: FR <http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let6-UNSC-FR-1-10-26.pdf>.

EN <http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let6-UNSC-EN-1-10-26.pdf>.

Excellencies, Ladies and Gentlemen,

1. Further to my letters of 15 September 2026 (FR [Att. 0.6](#), EN [Att. 0.7](#)) presenting the detailed description of proposal no. 2 (FR [Att. 2](#), EN [Att. 2.2](#)) on the new domain name (Internet) pricing system, and of 25 June 2026 (FR [Att. 0](#), EN [Att. 0.1](#)), 21 July 2026 (FR [Att. 0.2](#), EN [Att. 0.3](#)) and 15 August 2026 (FR [Att. 0.4](#), EN [Att. 0.5](#)) — which presented the six cross-analyses between my platform and various UN, regional and thematic reports, and addressed several issues related to the implementation of the 6 proposals, I am writing to you again to present the current versions of the description of the 5 other proposals of the platform, to confirm the possibility of launching the various proposals as early as January 2027, and to make a few comments on the ongoing process to select the Secretary-General.

2. The latest updates to the summary notes have allowed me to describe the objectives and scope of several of the proposals somewhat more precisely and to identify certain omissions that I still have to address (each proposal is complex and makes it possible not only to solve the particular problem addressed in the proposal, but also to prepare (a) the resolution of other related problems and (b) the new goals that will replace the SDGs), so I will briefly review the state of progress of each of the summary notes and its contribution to the preparation of the new 2030-2045 goals.

3. **Proposal no. 1** ([Att. 1](#), [EN Att. 1.2](#)). The note of 10 September 2026 (33 pages) is the longest. It concerns the creation of a new international organization (IO) responsible, among other things, for the governance of the Internet and of AI. It describes the functions, structure and possible governance of this IO, as well as the scenarios for the use of AI mentioned in my letter of 21 July 2026 (FR [Att. 0.2](#), EN [Att. 0.3](#)). Scenario A now includes a variant A bis, which would allow the IO to retain responsibility for data management in UN data centres, rather than relying on commercial cloud providers. Many unknowns, some of which depend on the progress of the other proposals, still prevent precise cost estimates. The technical and financial feasibility of this proposal, and indirectly that of the proposals that depend on it, can nevertheless be assessed. One correction should be noted in section 4.2.5 (bottom of page 16): the figures will need to be refined as not only notes 3 and 4, but also notes 5 and 6, become more precise, as will be seen below.

4. **Proposals nos. 2 and 3**. The note of 12 September 2026 on proposal no. 2 (FR [Att. 2](#), EN [Att. 2.2](#), 17 pages) was presented in the letter of 15 September 2026 (FR [Att. 0.6](#), EN [Att. 0.7](#)). I will not return to it here. The note of 17 September 2026 on proposal no. 3 (FR [Att. 3](#), EN [Att. 3.2](#), 11 pages) describes the technical and financial feasibility of the new AI-based legal aid system, as well as the implementation timetable. It is advanced enough to envisage a launch in early January 2027. This proposal is now linked to

proposal no. 4, in which we will study in more detail the police/justice subsystem of the new pension management system. This work would be carried out in several pilot countries (G20, middle-income countries, LDCs) and would prepare the improvement or development of this subsystem in the countries that so wish, within the framework of the 2030-2045 goals and, of course, with the agreement of the General Assembly.

5. **Proposal no. 4** ([Att. 4](#), [EN Att. 4.2](#)). The summary note of 23 September 2026 (13 pages) concerns a new pension management system. It clarifies the important role this proposal plays in the platform and in reducing the digital divide. In particular, it proposes to help prepare, from 2027 to 2029, the sustainable convergence goals that would replace the SDGs, as well as the strategy to achieve them, if you choose my platform. This version contains many changes and will be reread and refined further, but it already contains the information needed to assess the proposal.

6. **Proposal no. 5** ([Att. 5](#), [EN Att. 5.2](#)). The note of 25 September 2026 (13 pages) concerns the creation of a new UN agency for disarmament and for the governance of AI in the military domain, among other functions. It describes fairly precisely the functions, structure and possible governance of the agency, as well as the technical and financial feasibility of the project. The detailed timetable has not yet been established, but proposal no. 1 provides some answers on this subject (section 7 of the note). This note too will be reread and refined further. It already makes it possible to gauge the role this proposal can play in international peace and security, environmental protection, the fight against global warming and development.

7. **Proposal no. 6** ([Att. 6](#), [EN Att. 6.2](#)). The note of 26 September 2026 (9 pages) concerns the search for an alternative to market capitalism. It has been updated to clarify the objective of the proposal and to add one work stream. One important work stream remains to be added: determining the computer systems and information systems to be developed in order to implement, on the one hand, the transformation towards sustainable global consumption and production of resources as presented in the GRO 2024, and, on the other hand, a better assessment of the production and trade costs that market capitalism does not account for as it should. Here again, an international team should be set up, bringing together (1) economists and other experts from national administrations and international organizations, and (2) the scientists who authored the GRO 2024, and asking them to identify all the information that needs to be generated to carry out this transformation. This work would also help prepare the 2030-2045 goals, if you choose my platform.

8. The cross-analyses and the summary notes, which I still need to improve, should allow you to assess the benefits of the platform for your countries or the groups of countries to which you belong. I would be grateful if you could point out any inaccuracies or errors. Regarding funding, if some countries were to consider a target of \$30 billion per year—derived from the new domain name pricing—to be excessive, half that amount (\$15 billion annually) would suffice, according to my current estimates (which will be refined as the proposals are further detailed), to fund the six proposals. This includes the creation of the international organization in Proposal No. 1 and the agency in Proposal No. 5, as well as Scenario A for AI implementation. Such an amount would already represent substantial support for the UN and its Member States in addressing the serious and urgent challenges we face.

9. One last element may help in assessing my proposals. In looking at the candidates' informal dialogues, I found that the cross-analyses between my platform and the UN, regional and thematic reports, presented on 25 June and 1 September 2026, make it possible to answer a significant number of the questions asked. I have therefore prepared written answers to the questions asked during the informal dialogues of Ms. Grynspan ([Att. 7](#)) and of Ms. Rodrigues-Birkett ([Att. 8](#)), which I am enclosing. I hope they will help you better gauge the merit of the proposals of my platform.

10. The questions asked during these dialogues are important and often complex. However, the speaking time given to candidates (two or three minutes, sometimes for four to six questions at once) does not allow them to answer each one. Answering all the questions in writing also gives you my view on subjects that matter to you. I understand that the informal dialogues also serve to assess candidates' knowledge and their ability to defend a position on complex subjects. But in the case of a candidate, not yet nominated, who presents a platform of six detailed proposals aimed at solving problems of importance to all countries, the evaluation requires more information than an informal dialogue allows to be presented: the cross-analyses and the summary notes illustrate this. I have been speaking to you about these proposals for a long time; you now have the elements to assess the skills and experience that enable me to present them in detail, and to understand their value for all.

11. The fourth straw poll took place on 29 September 2026 and highlighted a front-runner, but none of the current candidates presents precise and detailed proposals that could be implemented as early as January 2027. It is therefore still possible to allow me to take part in the process and to publicly defend my proposals, all the more so as the governance of AI was one of the subjects most often raised by leaders during the General Debate of the General Assembly. Designing these proposals, preparing the cross-analyses and the summary notes, and answering many of the questions from the informal dialogues represents long and demanding work, spanning more than thirty years. I am therefore ready to defend these proposals, even in the context of a last-minute nomination, if one of you would agree to nominate me for the position of Secretary-General. I remain of course at your disposal for any further information.

Please accept, Excellencies, Ladies and Gentlemen, the assurances of my highest consideration.

Pierre Geneviev

Attachments:

- Att. 0 : Lettre du 25-6-26, version FR, [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let2-UNSC-FR-25-6-26.pdf>].
Version EN (0.1) [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let2-UNSC-EN-25-6-26.pdf>].
- Att. 0.2 : Lettre du 21-7-26, version FR, [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let3-UNSC-FR-21-7-26.pdf>].
Version EN (0.3), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let3-UNSC-EN-21-7-26.pdf>].
- Att. 0.4 : Lettre du 15-8-26, version FR, [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let4-UNSC-FR-15-8-26.pdf>].
Version EN (0.5), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let4-UNSC-EN-15-8-26.pdf>].
- Att. 0.6 : Lettre du 15-9-26, version FR, [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let5-UNSC-FR-15-9-26.pdf>].
Version EN (0.7), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/let5-UNSC-EN-15-9-26.pdf>].
- Att. 1 : Note de synthèse prop no 1, (FR), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-Prop-no1-fus+5ch-11-9-26.pdf>].
Version EN (1.2), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-Prop-no1-fus+5ch-11-9-26-EN.pdf>].
- Att. 2 : Note de synthèse prop no 2, (FR), [[http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop2-es-re-\\$30B-12-9-26-v2.pdf](http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop2-es-re-$30B-12-9-26-v2.pdf)].
Version EN (2.2), [[http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop2-es-re-\\$30B-EN-12-9-26-v2.pdf](http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop2-es-re-$30B-EN-12-9-26-v2.pdf)].
- Att. 3 : Note de synthèse prop no 3, (FR), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/htm2/No-sy-Prop3-si-17-9-26.pdf>].
Version EN (3.2), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/htm2/No-sy-Prop3-si-EN-17-9-26.pdf>].
- Att. 4 : Note de synthèse prop no 4, (FR), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop4-v6-23-9-26.pdf>].
Version EN (4.2), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop4-v6-23-9-26-EN.pdf>].
- Att. 5 : Note de synthèse prop no 5, (FR), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop5-v3-25-9-26-v2.pdf>].
Version EN (5.2), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop5-v3-25-9-26-v2-EN.pdf>].
- Att. 6 : Note de synthèse prop no 6, (FR), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop6-26-09-26.pdf>].
Version EN (6.2), [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/No-sy-Prop6-26-09-26-EN.pdf>].
- Att. 7 : Réponse au dialogue Grynspan, [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/Q-R-inf-dia-Gryns-30-9-26-C3.pdf>].
- Att. 8 : Réponse au dialogue Rodrigues-Birkett, [<http://www.pierregenevier.eu/npdf3-2-21/Q-R-inf-dia-Rodri-9-9-26-C4-L.pdf>].