

Pierre GENEVIER  
18 rue des Canadiens, Apt. 227  
86000 Poitiers  
France  
Phone: (33) (0)5 49 88 72 32, Port. : 07 82 85 41 63. Email: [pierre.genevier@laposte.net](mailto:pierre.genevier@laposte.net)

H.E. Mr. Mogens Lykketoft, President of the 70<sup>th</sup> Session of the General Assembly  
H.E. Mr. Koro Bessho, Permanent Representative of Japan, President of the UN Security Council  
H.E. Permanent Representatives of UN member states  
Mr. Ban Ki-moon, UNSG

Poitiers, July 5<sup>th</sup>, 2016

**Copy:** Mrs. and Mr. Heads of State and Government of countries members of the United Nations.

**Object:** My 4-11-16 letter ([exh. 0](#)) concerning **(1)** my letter to Mr. Hollande asking to be nominated for the post of UN Secretary General ([exh. 2](#)); and **(2)** the presentation of my vision statement ([exh. 3](#)) including my platform of proposals to help the member states achieve their various goals (SDGs, Climate change related objectives,).

Dear Mr. Lykketoft and Mr. Bessho,  
Dear Permanent Representatives of Member States,  
Dear Mr. Ban Ki-moon,

**1.** Referring to my 4-11-16 letter ([exh. 0](#)) concerning **(a)** my letter to Mr. Hollande asking to be nominated for the UNSG position ([exh. 2](#)), and **(b)** the presentation **(i)** of **my vision statement** including **my platform of proposals** to help the member states achieve the SDGs and Paris Agreement objectives ([exh. 4](#)) and **(ii)** of **my short biography** ([exh. 3](#)), I take the liberty of writing you again **(1)** to keep you informed on the steps I took to try to obtain the nomination for the **2016** UNSG selection process, **(2)** to stress the importance of my platform of proposals for the UN and for its members states, and of my UNSG application, **(3)** to ask **one of 'you'**, UN member states, to nominate me for the UNSG post despite France's obvious reluctance to do so, and **(4)** to ask the other UN member states to support my nomination also.

**1) My 5-17-16 letter to the députés and senators and the press and media, and their silence.**

**2.** On 5-17-16, I wrote to the French députés, senators, and press and media ([exh. 1](#)) to present **them (1)** my application for the UNSG post, **(2)** my platform of proposals to help the UN member states achieve their various goals (SDGs, Climate change related objectives,), **(3)** the fraud of the *Conseil constitutionnel*, the 2 Supremes courts, and the government to prevent the judgment of my QPC on the legal aid system, and **(4)** the unfair '*persecutions*' of the justice I was victim of since my return to France, **but** they did **not** respond to my letter or talk **publicly (i)** about the proposals I defend, **(ii)** about the effort I have done **over a 23 years period** to prepare my platform, or **(iii)** even about the dishonesty of our legal aid system and the treacheries to maintain an unconstitutional legal aid system that robs (and violates the human rights of) **more than 14 million French poor** and make our justice system (as a whole) '*a fraud*' (because of the obligation to have a lawyer in most of proceedings). And I believe that **their refusal to answer or to talk publicly** about the various subjects I discussed was **not** in the interest of France, of the French people, and of the people of the World.

**3. First**, the French legal aid system unconstitutionality and the treacheries of the highest courts and of the government to maintain an unconstitutional legal aid system, are **necessarily important** subjects for the French people because the legal aid **problems** affect **directly more than 14 million** French poor (and have been doing so **for more than 25 years now**), and **indirectly** all the French people because they establish that our entire justice system is (and has been) corrupt (for many years). Moreover, the politicians and the press and media have **often** talked (publicly) about these problems before **(1)** because the lawyers have been several times on strike (over this law) these past few years, and **(2)** because the senators and députés (and experts from the administration) have written several reports on this subject, all of which pointed out the grave problems of the

law, but they **never formally** admitted that the law was unconstitutional and that **the first ones to suffer** from the law **were the poor** who are denied the right to a fair trial or often simply the right to have a day in court; so they should have talked about the new arguments I brought and admit the illegality of the law.

4. And **second**, the proposals to help the UN member states (including France) achieve their various goals that are the basis of my application for the UNSG position are also an important subject for France and for the French politicians and journalists, and a subject that gave them a chance **(1) to talk (a) about** the UNSG selection process, **(b) about** the different UNSG candidates, **(c) about** their visions of the challenges and opportunities the UN will face, and **(d) about** the proposals they defend to resolve our various global problems, and **(2) to analyze** the pertinence of **their proposals and mine** and to evaluate the benefits they have for the world and for France. The French press and media and politicians talk **regularly** (publicly) about several **important subjects** that you have addressed (with specific questions) during the informal dialogs [like **the refugee crisis**, the **violent extremism and terrorism**, climate change issues, ...], and they know that the UN has an (if not the most) important role to play to find the solutions to these problems, so they should be concerned by the questions you asked and the responses the UNSG candidates give you, and ultimately by the choice you will make based on the answer you get to your questions (and talk publicly about these subjects in the interest of the French people).

## 2) The 2016 UNSG selection process so far and few comments on some of your questions and statements.

*a) Your effort for more transparency in the UNSG selection process and my proposals that respond to most of your questions.*

5. I have read the different candidates' vision statements and watched the informal dialogs, and my **first** remark is that none of the candidates has presented the solutions to the UN problems that I defend in my vision statement [for example, none of the candidates proposed *the creation of the new Internet IO* (...) or *the search for the alternative to market capitalism* or *to develop computer applications to be able to implement an efficient legal aid system* everywhere around the world,], so my UNSG application is **still** pertinent and **important** to make sure **(a)** that you be given the opportunity to comment **publicly** my proposals that are different from the ones already presented and **(b)** that the people of the world see and understand that you have made the effort to analyze all the pertinent proposals and points of view (that were submitted to you) to find the best possible solutions to the world's problems. My **second** remark is that, **even though** I could not know the questions you would pose during the informal dialogs when I prepared my proposals [since most of them were already described in my **11-29-05** letter ([exh. 8](#))], **it is obvious that** my proposals respond **directly** to **most of your** questions since they address the concerns you expressed or bring solutions to the problems you raised during the informal dialogs.

6. For example, **my platform** responds **directly (1)** to 2 of the 3 questions posed by G77 + China group [and my application for the UNSG post addresses indirectly and partly the third one], **(2)** to the concerns expressed by the group of landlocked developing countries and of SIDS, **(3)** to two of the European Union questions, **(4)** to one of the questions posed by NAM, **(5)** to one of the African Union questions (and to many others questions you asked, ...). So you must know and understand **(a)** that my objective was necessarily to help the member states achieve their various goals (SDGs, Climate change objectives,), **(b)** that I did have the member states and the UN **interest** in sight when I did my work, and **(c)** that my vision statement and my platform are serious. I will not answer your questions here in writing because it would not make much sense and also because I still believe that it is in the UN and the member states' **interest** that you ask me to answer them formally and verbally in front you in New York **before July 21** when the Security Council will start its selection process; but I would like to make some comments on some of your concerns or statements.

*b) Comment on the statement of the group of friend in favor of a women candidate for the UNSG post.*

7. The first comment is about the 'group of friend in favor of a women candidate for the UNSG post' (around 56 countries, it seems) statement '**70 years is enough, now is the time to elect a women at the UN Secretary General position**' (or '*after 70 years, the time has come for a woman to lead the UN*'), I believe that this statement is **dangerous** for the world and for the maintenance of peace – even though it is **probably** motivated **by a good intention** -, and also that it is not serving the cause of women or the important gender equality issue. This statement is

dangerous because **it seems** that - for those of you who make it -, the only thing that matter is that the next UNSG be a woman, and indirectly that you do not care **(i)** if the women candidates bring solutions to the UN problems, **(ii)** if they are capable of identifying what important opportunities and challenges the UN and the UNSG will face, or **(iii)** even if the world progresses and resolves its problems! The UNSG selection process **should focus (a)** on the analysis of the candidates' vision statements and **(b)** on the solutions they bring to resolve the UN and its member states' problems, but not on the gender of the candidates.

8. Limiting your objective to having a woman UNSG is a form of *politicization* of the selection process and some countries have rightfully criticized the *politicization* of the recruitment process for the UN senior management posts during the informal dialogs. And one way to avoid that, or at least to diminish the politicization of the recruitment process, is to focus on the solutions to the UN problems that the candidates present. Moreover selecting a women for the UNSG job (or even having a perfect parity at the UN) is **not** going to resolve the gender equality problem around the world **by itself**, or the problem of violence against women, it is the solutions that the candidates will bring that will (or can) help resolve the gender equality problem, and in particular the solutions they bring to improve the justice system and the institution because - **on the ground** - it is the justice system and the institution that are protecting (or **supposed to protect**) the women (and to guaranty their rights), and that will encourage (or force) the change of behavior of a large number of people that is necessary in this area.

*c) Comment on one of the question from the UK.*

9. Then I would like to make also two comments on 2 specific questions you asked, the first one is from the UK that has asked: '*what would the elected UNSG candidate do if one country clearly and flagrantly violated the UN charter?*' Given that the Charter preamble contains a call **for the respect of human rights** and knowing the close linked between human rights violation and conflict, Mr. Matthew Rycroft **may** agree that a country that deliberately violates the human right **of 14 million of its vulnerable citizens**, does violate the UN Charter, and **if so**, I will make this comment: in my case, you have an obvious example of what I would do because as soon as I understood how dishonest the legal aid system was in France, **I denounced it to the justice**, to the politicians, and to the '*international justice*' (...); and you can easily evaluate **all the troubles** this effort caused me and **continue to cause me**. But an equally interesting related question is: '*what would or should 'you' (permanent representative, member state) do when someone explains you that his country of origin is clearly violating the human rights of more than 14 million of people, and that his effort to denounce this problem has affected his chance to be nominated for the UNSG post*'? I believe it is your duty **not** to cover up the inappropriate behavior of that country and its politicians, and to make sure the UNSG selection process is not affected by it and that this person can defend his proposals in front of you [especially here where France has **no mitigating circumstances**; the UK necessarily understands this better than anyone since it spends **5 times** what France spends to try to avoid violating the rights of its poor].

10. You still have the time to raise this issue of the unconstitutionality and dishonesty of the French legal aid system during **your next high level event on the human rights on July 12-13**; and of course, I would be pleased to describe you in detail the different problems of the French legal aid law and to explain you how we can resolve them, if you wanted me to intervene during this event. There are probably around **800 million people** living in extreme poverty, and perhaps another 2 billion, **or even much more**, who can also be considered to be poor and who would qualify for legal aid under France's standard, so there are many persons around the world who are **directly** concerned by this legal aid subject, and the number is sufficiently important for the UN to pay a careful attention to this legal aid problem during its high level on human rights; especially at this special time where you look for solutions to achieve the SDGs and for a new UNSG who is supposed to be '*a spokesperson for the interest of the world's people, and in particular the poor and vulnerable among them*'. The last comment that I would like to make is on the question of the NAM group about the pressure put on the secretary general.

*d) Comment on one of the questions from NAM.*

11. The representative of Algeria who spoke on behalf of NAM said that sometimes the UNSG **was subjected to pressure** to take certain decisions and he asked what the candidate would do to avoid such pressure if he/she was elected. If some of '**you**' think that the UNSG can be or is subjected to pressure

during his time in office, then you surely can understand that someone who is applying (or expressing his interest) for the UNSG job can also be subjected to pressure (for example to prevent him or her from asking for his nomination ... or from pointing out some important problems that concern the UN ...). Here, in my case I have expressed my interest for the UNSG post **in 2006** ([exh. 6](#)) to defend my platform of proposals to eradicate poverty, and I have been victim of all sort of underserved pressures (threats, persecutions, ...), several of which were certainly directly linked to the fact that I am asking to be nominated for the UNSG job and that I am presenting certain proposals that are **obviously not discussed publicly as they should be**; like for example the proposals to improve **(a)** the legal aid systems and **(b)** the Internet governance. For more than 10 years now, no one has talked about the possibility **(1)** to implement a fairer and better fee system for the Internet and **(2)** to develop **global** computer applications that could be used by different countries at the same time to resolve certain specific problems, although such global applications already exist **in the private sector** (!) [not even the WGIG had mentioned these possibilities or the many services that a **new Internet IO** could render *to bridge the digital divide* ... (!)].

**12.** I should remind you also that just few weeks after I wrote to you to informally apply for the UNSG job **in January 2011** ([exh. 5](#)), I was deported to France by the US with a deportation order **full of lies**, and forbidden to return for 10 years (!) although I was obviously victim of grave injustices in the US and I still had several legal proceedings pending in courts to try to resolve them (!). And since I came back to France, I have been victim of all sort of *persecutions* [treacheries, harassment, threats, ..., please see [exh. 1](#), no 18-39] from the justice, even though I have personally written to Mr. Hollande and the prime minister **in 2013** (...) **(1)** to explain him the special work I had done for the International community, **(2)** to describe him the proposals I had presented in my previous informal UNSG applications, and **(3)** to point out the unfairness of the legal aid system in France that creates (ed) great troubles to many poor (!). I believe also that your new effort for transparency in the UNSG selection process should encourage you to denounce the unfair pressures I have been victim of (for many years now) for the work I have done **(a) in the interest of the UN** and of its member states, and **(b)** to defend my platform of proposals during the UNSG selection process.

### **3) The importance of my platform of proposals for the world and the short period of time remaining to nominate a candidate for the UNSG post.**

a) *The creation of a new Internet IO, a key proposal to achieve the SDG and to maintain peace.*

**13.** Before I conclude this letter I would like to come back briefly on my main proposals. The Internet has become **absolutely indispensable** in a country like France. For example, the kids are using it on a daily basis **at school** or to do their homework or simply to play video games at home [there are even schools that train the kids to become professional video game players, I heard (!)]; also soon (a year or two) in France everyone will be **forced** to file **'his/her taxes return' online**, and every day we use more and more the Internet, to receive our bank statements, to receive our invoices ..., so if we really want to help poor countries and eradicate poverty, we must make an – **exceptional and urgent** - effort **in the area of the Internet**, and we must **bridge the digital divide**, and **for that we need a new Internet IO**. Moreover, the Internet is also critical to help us finance the SDGs, to create economic growth and to improve the institutions and justice systems in all the countries, including poor countries, and for that also **we need a new Internet IO** that will help us **(1)** improve the Internet access in poor countries, **(2)** develop global computer applications that can be used by many different countries, and **(3)** facilitate the transfer of ICT technologies.

**14.** My **first** proposal is therefore **an absolute must** if we want to achieve the SDGs and **to bring peace around the world**; and if **'we'** (*'you', member states*) miss the opportunity of the **2016** UNSG selection process to address this issue and to take the decision, we may **not** have another chance before a long time, as it happened 10 years ago **in 2006**; or we may waste too much time for this new IO to have a significant impact on our effort to achieve the SDG because it is a long term and complex project, and it will take time to put it in place and running efficiently. Also given my experience and the work I have done to make this proposal, I believe I am the best person to lead this project at the head of the UN. Similarly, my proposal to search for and implement the **alternative to market capitalism** is a critical proposal, and also a complex long term project that we should not delay if we want it to have a significant impact on our effort to achieve the SDGs. I have worked hard and in difficult conditions **for more than 20 years** because I knew that the

proposals I defend were important for everyone, and you still have time to evaluate these proposals **formally** to help the world, so I am asking you to please use the remaining time you have to do so.

*b) The other steps I took since April 14 2016.*

**15.** Since April I have also written emails to some of you to ask if you had a point of view on the proposals I presented, but none of the persons I contacted answered, and I also wrote an email to the representatives of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and of Syrian Arab Republic to know if their country would eventually accept to nominate me for the UNSG post since the French President did not respond to my letters, but they did not respond either. My platform of proposals is useful for every country (including North Korea and Syria), so it does not matter which country nominates me. I have also filed a complaint against France at the ECHR **on 6-10-16** to point out the human rights violations I was victim of in my various proceedings and to denounce the dishonesty of the legal aid law, but, as you know, the ECHR rejects most of the requests summarily or it takes **several years** to judge the cases when it finds them admissible, so if you remain silent on this issue, they may not even address the subject (or not before a long time). Again the issue of the development of an honest and efficient legal aid system is an important SDG issue that concerns you, so you should not wait for the ECHR's decision.

**16.** According to Mr. Delattre's letter dated **6-15-16**, the UN Security Council will start its review process of the different candidates **on July 21, 2016**, meaning that you still have about two weeks to present a new nomination (or perhaps more if...); so I must inform you that I am still willing and ready to go the New York to defend my proposals in front of you and to explain you into more detail the **extraordinary** possibilities they give you to achieve the SDG and climate change related objectives **within the 2030 time frame**. I also must inform you that, because of my **illegal** deportation from the US **in 2011**, I am – at this day – forbidden to return to the US **before 10 years** has passed, which means that if one of the member states accepted to nominate me, the US would have to give me a **special** permission to return to the US to defend my candidacy. I would therefore be grateful to Mrs. Power, the US Permanent Representative, if she could help me obtain this permission if one of 'you' nominated me for the UNSG position. I also would like to ask all the permanent representatives to be kind enough to forward this letter to the head of state or of government of their countries and to ask them if they would accept to nominate me to allow me to defend my proposals formally in front of the UNGA before July 21 2016.

#### **4) Conclusion.**

**17.** After my **4-11-16** letter, I wrote to the French députés, senators, and journalists on **5-17-16** to present them my application for the UNSG post and to describe the fraud of the Conseil constitutionnel, the 2 Supremes courts and the government to prevent the judgment of my QPC on the legal aid system, **but** they did **not** respond to my letter or talk **publicly (i)** about the proposals I presented you or **(ii)** even about the dishonesty of our legal aid system and the treacheries to maintain an unconstitutional legal aid system that affects **more than 14 million French poor** and make our justice system (as a whole) a fraud (because of the obligation to have a lawyer in most of proceedings) although it was in the interest of France and of the World to do so. **Only the UN** can resolve certain global problems that affect all the countries, including France, so the French politicians and press and media **(1)** should be (and have been) concerned **(a)** by the questions you asked, **(b)** by the responses the UNSG candidates give you, **(c)** by the proposals that are submitted to you, and ultimately **(d)** by the solutions you choose to resolve the world problems and **(e)** by the candidate you select to implement these solutions, and **(2)** should have commented publicly the UNSG selection process.

**18.** Also after reviewing the various candidates vision statements and informal dialogs, I noted **(1)** that none of the candidates has presented the solutions to the UN problems that I defend in my vision statement [for example, none of the candidates proposed *the creation of the new Internet IO (...)* or *the search for the alternative to market capitalism* or *to develop computer applications to be able to implement an efficient legal aid system* everywhere around the world,] and **(2)** that my proposals respond **directly** to **most of your** questions since they address the concerns you expressed or bring solutions to the problems you raised during the informal dialogs, so I believe that my UNSG application **is still pertinent** and **important** to make sure that you be given the opportunity to study and consider my proposals in the same way you did the other candidates'

proposals. This is why I would be grateful to '*you*', permanent representatives of member states, if you could forward this letter to the heads of state or of government of your countries, and ask them if they would accept **(i)** to nominate me for the UNSG position so that I can defend my proposals formally and verbally in front of you, and **(ii)** to support the member state that will accept to do so (if any), since France has not chosen to nominate me at this time, obviously.

19. The Internet has become **absolutely indispensable** in a country like France, so if we really want to help poor countries, to eradicate poverty, and to bring peace around the world, we must make an – **exceptional** and urgent - effort **in the area of the Internet**, and we must **bridge the digital divide**, and for that we need a new Internet IO. Moreover, the Internet is also critical to help us finance the SDGs, to create economic growth and to improve the institutions and justice systems in all the countries, including poor countries, and for that also we need a new Internet IO. Similarly, my proposal to search for and implement the **alternative to market capitalism** is a critical proposal to help us achieve the SDGs within the 2030 time frame, but these 2 proposals are complex long term projects that we should not delay if we want them to have a **significant impact** on our effort to achieve the SDGs, so it is important for everyone that you study my proposals in the same manner as the other during the UNSG selection process, and, of course, I can go to New York to present them to you into more detail verbally before July 21<sup>st</sup>.

20. As you know it is difficult for me to send a copy of my letter to every one of the UN member states representatives, so I would be grateful to Mr. Lykketoft and Mr. Bessho if they could make sure that this new letter is received by every representative of member states. I also would like to ask those of you who will receive it to discuss it with your colleagues (from the other countries) and to forward it to the heads of state or of government of your countries urgently because of the short period of time that remains before July 21. And, of course, I would be happy to meet you to have a chance to defend my proposals verbally and to respond to your questions.

Yours sincerely,

Pierre Geneviev

PS. The PDF version of this letter is at <http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/UN-cand-UNSG-2-5-7-16.pdf>. Please let me know if you cannot access certain documents (with the Internet links).

### Exhibits.

- Exh. 0: Letter sent to M. Lykketoft and the UNGA dated 11-4-16, [ <http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/UN-cand-UNSG-11-4-16.pdf> ]
- Exh. 1: Letter to the députés, senators and journalists, 5-17-16, [ <http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-polit-press-media-17-5-16.pdf> ].
- Exh. 2: Letter to M. Hollande dated 3-17-16, [ <http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-Hollande-cand-UN-17-3-16.pdf> ].
- Exh. 3: Brief biography, [ <http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/bio-SG-can-17-3-16.pdf> ].
- Exh. 4: Vision statement, [ <http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/vision-8-4-16.pdf> ].
- Exh. 5: 2nd UNSG application dated 1-12-11; [ <http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/letungaBP-Pre1-12-11-4.pdf> ].
- Exh. 6: 1st UNSG application dated 6-14-06, [ <http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf/ungeneralassemb.pdf> ].
- Exh. 7: Letter to the UNGA dated 11-29-05; [ <http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf/uscongress10-20.pdf> ].