

Pierre Geneviev  
423 East 7<sup>th</sup> Street (RM 528)  
Los Angeles, CA 90014  
Ph.: (213) 622-1508  
Email: [pierre.geneviev@laposte.net](mailto:pierre.geneviev@laposte.net)

Mr. President of the United Nations General Assembly  
Mr. President of the United Nations Security Council  
Mrs./Mr. Permanent Representatives of Members States of the United Nations  
Mr. Secretary-General of the United Nations  
International Organizations Presidents, Directors,, ICANN CEO

Los Angeles, March 25 2008

Copy: UNA-USA

Object: Preparation of a detailed platform of reforms for the United Nations Secretary-General (UNSG) selection process in 2011, letter sent to Mr. Mike Bloomberg [see <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/htm/letblo3-25-08.htm> (1)], UNSG selection process reform, platform presentation requirement's questions and implications [this letter's at <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/htm/letunga3-25-08.htm>].

Dear Mr. President of the United Nations General Assembly  
Dear Mr. President of the United Nations Security Council  
Dear Mrs./Mr. Permanent Representatives of Members States of the UN  
Dear Madam, Dear Sir,

Referring to the various letters I sent you, your colleagues and/or your predecessors these past few years [and particularly the letters expressing my interest for the posts of UNSG in 2006 at <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/htm/let6-14-06.htm> (2) and of World Bank President in 2007 at <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/htm/letwb6-2-07.htm> (3)], I take the liberty of writing you again **(1)** to present you a proposed work-plan to develop further the platform of reforms to resolve our global problems I presented you in 2005 [see <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/htm/let11-29-05.htm> (4)], **(2)** to discuss certain issues related to your effort to reform the UNSG selection process and **(3)** to forward you a letter I sent to Mr. Bloomberg to ask him to participate in some way in this project.

As you know, in 2006 the UNA-USA prepared with the help of some you and/or your colleagues and of some experts a paper proposing some changes in the UNSG selection process; it recommended, among other proposals, that the UN General Assembly be given a more important role in the UNSG selection process. Another important suggestion was, I believe, that the candidates present **a platform of reforms** to resolve our global problems that member countries could evaluate during the selection process. Such a requirement would help us tremendously because, at this day, the UNSG selection process does not give a chance to the UNGA to agree on a strategy to resolve our global problems and to select the best UNSG and other IO Chiefs to implement this strategy, but the requirement also raises certain questions and has implications.

To require (or **at least to give the possibility to**) the UNSG candidates to present a platform of reforms to resolve our global problem, the UNGA must first respond to the following (and probably other you may have) **serious questions**: **(a)** How precise should the platform be? **(b)** Should the UN General Assembly approve the platform preparation work [since the participation of IOs would be helpful to prepare such a platform, it may be important that

the UN and Ios approve the work-plan first]? (c) And if so, how long before UNSG Selection process should the work-plan to develop the platform be handed out to the UNGA? (d) Should the candidates present the team that will work on the work-plan at the same time it presents the work-plan [finding the financing and collaborator after the UN General Assembly accepts the work-plan would be easier of course]? (e) Should there be a limit on the number of candidates and related work-plans? (f) Should the candidate presenting the proposed work-plan for the platform be 'nominated' by a country or could he wait until the end of the proposed work to request the 'nomination' of a country? ...

Such a requirement would **also have or may have some implications** in other IOs leader selection processes, and perhaps in the organization and work of the UN missions and other IOs country representation offices. It is obvious that if a platform proposes to reform the international financial system, the IMF and World Bank should be associated in the process, and therefore that the platform could have an impact on the choice of these organizations' Chiefs. Concerning this subject, it is possible that **one** of the reasons Mr. De Rato quitted his IMF Managing Director job in June 2007 (apart from his personal reasons) is that he understood that the next UNSG should have his word to say in the selection of other IOs (IMF, World Bank) managing directors or **more exactly that his platform of reforms should affect other Ios' work** and therefore that it should have an impact on the profiles of other IOs managing directors - in quitting early after Mr. Wolfowitz was asked to leave, he indirectly made sure that the next World Bank and IMF Chiefs would be chosen soon after the next UNSG selection process. An important work of the UNSG is the coordination of the different IOs' work (through the CEB committee), and to efficiently coordinates IOs' work, we must have a common strategy among IOs and find the right profiles to implement the strategy.

If the UNGA plays a more important role in the UNSG selection and the platform proposal requirement is imposed or at least made possible, the UN missions may have few additional responsibilities – they may be given an additional coordination work, for example. They may also have to evaluate intermediate reports on the preparation of the various platforms and/or to give some point of view on certain issues to make sure the various platforms meet everyone hopes and wishes (if it is possible). It is sometimes difficult for the 5 permanent members of the Security Council to agree on the name of the UNSG, so the UN missions' role will be important to obtain a consensus on a platform of reforms at UNGA [even if the platforms 'support' the UN basic objectives (promotion of democracy and respect of human rights), and are compatible with the fundamental principles of democracy]. Despite the questions, the proposal seems to have only advantages, and I think **the UNGA should keep an open mind on this requirement**, continue to make progress on the UNSG selection process reform it started in 2006, and **approve and encourage the project I will present you now to see how things could work out**.

In my 11-2005 letter I presented you a comprehensive set of proposals that address the important global problems of the moment (4), and then I used this platform as basis for my 'application' for the UNSG post in 2006 (2). The platform was the result of a fairly rigorous intellectual work that started in 1993, and is based on scientific, good (common) sense or logical arguments, but it should be made more precise to win a large consensus among the UN members, so I would like now go one step further in its elaboration and develop more detailed proposals including their costs and revenues or benefits. This work should be done with your approval and encouragements, as mentioned above, and it could also help you to respond to the questions presented above [the project, for example, could give you precious information on the amount of work that is necessary to prepare a serious platform and on how precise the platform should be...]. In my letter addressed to

Mr. Bloomberg ([1](#)), I propose to develop in detail the proposal to create a new Internet IO, the proposal to add poverty reduction to the Kyoto protocol, the proposal to reform our 'remuneration system', the proposal to reform our justice systems, and various other proposals addressing some UN other specific problems.

This work would take place **in 2009 and 2010 (about)**, so that it can be presented **in the 2011 UNSG selection process**. It would require the participation of about 20 teams of 6 (to 12) experts working (on the average) half time during the two years – each participant would receive a compensation of about \$50 000 per year for an average of half-time work [the groups would be composed of scientists, engineers, economists, IO experts and/or managers, national administrations experts and or managers, industry experts, NGO experts ...], and would cost between **\$17.8 millions dollars to \$35.2 millions** depending of the size of the teams. Of course, the proposal gives only a rough estimate of the work that needs to be done – the work-plan should be improved (during the next few months) as the 'partners' (willing to finance it) join in, and as we start finding the people to work on it (**and why not, as you comment it**). So, I am sure you understand that this is **not** an insignificant effort (whether it is in term of cost or intellectual work) and that the UN could easily take advantage of it [by encouraging unusually talented people (coming from the industry, civil society, academics world), to think about the best possible strategy to resolve our global problems, among others].

Since I would coordinate the effort, I must now 'talk' to you **briefly** about my present situation. After I arrived here in 2002 and was granted asylum (or given refugee documents that were confirmed several times), I had a lot of difficulties with several administrations that ignored my refugee documents and I have made many efforts to try to resolve the problems [see difficulties in my [recent criminal complaint](#), recent letter [to 8 US University Presidents and to administrations](#) (5), related documents [verification of status](#), , [refugee A03 EA Card](#), [other documents](#).], but recently the US administration gave me a very unfair (and invalid) **deportation order (6) that pretends that I never even applied for asylum and that orders me to be deported at the earliest possible date!** I have applied for asylum and I always had a permission to remain here unlike the order states [see [motion to reconsider deportation](#), and (5)], so I want you to know that I have done nothing wrong and that I am simply victim of a US administration '**mistakes**' like many other people around the world are/have been [even if this, to me, does not excuse or even compensate for the grave prejudice I suffered]. And because of the project I am presenting you, this '**mistake**' may have serious implications for everyone, so (if you choose to approve the project) I would be grateful to you if you could find a way to ask the US to make sure I can visit the UN and other IOs in the US so that I can do a serious work on this project.

### Conclusion.

The proposed work-plan or project I present in [the letter addressed to Mr. Bloomberg](#) could help 'you' (UNGA, Security Council,) in many ways in your effort to reform the UNSG selection process **in addition to give you a more precise possible direction to resolve our global problems**. Of course, it would not bind you in any way in your choice of the next UNSG 3 or more years before the normal date, and **not** bind you in your choice of a strategy to resolve our problems. The project simply gives you a chance to encourage some talented people to participate in your effort (your difficult task) to improve the life of more than 6 billions people and to encourage the next UNSG candidates to come well prepared.

I apologize for presenting my proposed work-plan in the form of a letter addressed to Mr. Bloomberg, but, as you can imagine, the resources and the time I have to write you are very limited, and I also wanted to give you a chance to encourage Mr.

Bloomberg to participate in the project if you decided to approve it and to continue further your effort to reform the UNSG selection process. Mr. Bloomberg is 'your' 'neighbor' and he has already supported financially the work of the UN, I believe, so you may already have had the chance to work with him. Of course, if Mr. Bloomberg has prior engagements or if he is not interested in this particular project, you should still seriously consider the proposed work-plan and even perhaps recommend other persons you think may make a significant contribution.

I look forward to receiving your comments and hopefully your approval for the project, and remain

Yours sincerely,

Pierre Geneviev

Ps: I would be grateful to Dr. Kerim and to Mr. Ban Ki-moon if they could make sure that every permanent representative of the UNGA and every IO Chiefs get this letter because I will not be able to fax it or email it to everyone, thank you. If necessary, you can access the Internet links of this letter at <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/htm/letunga3-25-08.htm> and of the letter to Mr. Bloomberg at <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/htm/letblo3-25-08.htm>.