Pierre Genevier 711 South Westlake Ave. #205

Los Angeles, CA 90057 Ph.: (213) 422-1520

Email: pierre.genevier@laposte.net

H.E. Mr. Miguel d'Escoto Brockmann, President of the UN General Assembly

Mrs./Mr. Permanent Representatives of UN Member States Mr. Ban Ki-Moon, Secretary-General of the United Nations

Mr. Michael Bloomberg, New York Mayor

Mr. Barack Obama, US President

Los Angeles, February 5 2009

Copy: The US Universities I contacted on 4-7-08 [exh. 4].

Object: My 2 letters of March 25 2008 addressed to the UNGA [exh. 1] and to Mr. Bloomberg [exh. 2]; preparation of a 'detailed' platform of reforms for the United Nations Secretary-General (UNSG) selection process in 2011; recent letter sent to several US administrations and 8 US University Presidents [exh. 3.1]; and my legal cases against the US administrations [this letter is at <a href="http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letunga2-5-09.pdf">http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letunga2-5-09.pdf</a>. to use the Internet links more easily].

Dear Mr. d'Escoto Brockmann.

Dear Mrs./Mr. Permanent Representatives of UN Member States,

Dear Mr. Ban Ki-Moon, Dear Mr. Bloomberg, Dear Mr. Obama.

Dear Wif. Oballia,

Referring to my 2 letters of March 25 2008 addressed to the UNGA [exh. 1] and to Mr. Bloomberg [exh. 2], I take the liberty of writing you again (1) to make few additional comments on my proposal to develop a detailed platform of reforms to resolve our global problems for the 2011 UNSG selection process, (2) to present the project to Mr. Obama who took office recently, and (3) to keep you informed on my legal cases against several US administrations.

# A The platform to be presented during the 2011 UNSG selection process and the project's benefits.

In my 2 letters of last year [exh. 1, exh. 2], I proposed you to develop further the platform of reforms I presented in my 11-29-05 letter [exh. 7], and later in my letters of application for the UNSG post on 6-14-06 [exh. 6] and for WB President [exh. 5]. The platform includes (1) a proposal to create a new Internet International Organization that would be responsible for developing and maintaining a computer system that administer a new domain name registration fee system [taking into consideration, among other factors, the Internet resources use and the revenues generated by this use]; (2) a proposal to add poverty reduction objectives to the Kyoto protocol to associate every country in the world in the protocol and to fight the fear people may have to defeat poverty because of our environment problems; (3) a proposal to reform our 'remuneration system' to make sure remuneration are more closely related to everyone's **relative** contribution to society's progress and our 'economical' system is conformed to (or compatible with) our political system - **democracy**; and (4) a proposal to reform rich country justice systems that are unfair for the poor... [at the image of the US justice system that has no appropriate public legal aid

Page 1 of 8 2/5/2009 8:45:52 AM File name: letunga2-5-09

system ...]; the platform also includes (5) various other proposals addressing more specific problems [see exh. 2, pages 9-10].

My letter to Mr. Bloomberg gives a proposed work plan and a rough estimation of the work load and cost, but I will summarize it again here. We need about 20 teams of 6 (to 12) experts working (on the average) half time during two years – each participant would receive a compensation of about \$50 000 per year for an average of half-time work [the groups would be composed of scientists, engineers, economists, IO experts and/or managers, national administrations experts and/or managers, industry experts, NGO experts ...] which would make our project cost ranging from \$17.8 millions dollars to \$35.2 millions about depending of the size of the teams. And the work would take place in 2009 and 2010 (about), so that it can be presented in the 2011 UNSG selection process. On April 7 2008, I forwarded my 2 letters to 16 US Universities and asked them if they could identify some scientists they felt could make significant contributions to this project in the event the UNGA agrees to go forward with the project [see letter exh. 4]. As everyone understands, it is up to the UNGA (including the US) to decide if it wants to encourage the project and to reform the UNSG selection process or not, but it is also obvious that the UNGA cannot take a decision on the project if the project's financial and manpower issues have not been addressed and resolved.

Everyone of 'you', UNGA, Mr. Bloomberg, and the US universities have now had about 10 months to think about the project (if you wanted to), and we can now ask Mr. Obama if he wants to support the project also [obviously the US would play an special role in it because of the agreements between the US and ICANN], so it seems a good time for me to remind you of the project's many benefits and to present it to Mr. Obama. First, the project would help the UN General Assembly in its effort to reform the UNSG selection process started in 2006. One of the various suggestions made to improve the process was to ask the UNSG candidates to present (or to give the candidates the possibility to present) a platform of reforms to resolve our global problems that would be evaluated by the UNGA during the selection process. This suggestion (or possible requirement) however raises several important questions that this project could help to answer for the UNGA [please see some of the questions in my previous letters, exh. 1, exh. 2] which is not a negligible advantage when you know that the UNGA is rightfully cautious in its reform process.

**Second**, (1) the platform presents a possible 'new direction' or new strategy to resolve our global problems and to improve the lives of many people around the world since it encourages us (a) to pay a little bit more attention to what is going on in rich countries to defeat poverty and resolve our global problems, (b) to put the Internet at the center of our strategy to resolve our global problems, and (c) to use our information society more efficiently. And (2) it addresses important psychological issues [like the fear to resolve the poverty problem because it could endanger our environment situation, or the wrong perception of their real relative contribution to society' s progress certain country and individual may have due to the unfair 'remuneration' system], systemic issues [like the reform of our economical system to make it more compatible to our political system, democracy,...], and information society issues that are fairly recent issues [like the creation of a new Internet International organization and the development of a new domain name registration fee system]. To address these issues would help to resolve the poverty and other global problems we are facing now as you can understand

and as evidenced by some recent studies [like the World Bank report explaining that growth is not enough to defeat poverty, we must also redistribute wealth more efficiently].

I cannot go too much into the detail of each proposal here again, but you can surely picture how useful a new Internet International Organization could be to analyze and organize the transfer of technology (computer software,) from rich to poor countries, to develop a fair domain name registration fee system, and more generally to bridge the digital divide between rich and poor countries or promote and develop the Internet (an its use) in poor countries. You also understand the relation between poverty and environment, and can easily imagine the benefits of associating rich and poor country in a common effort to resolve these two grave problems together [as scientists have suggested for quite some time already] even if, of course, it is not an easy task. Finally, the design of a new 'remuneration' system or of an 'economical system' that is compatible with a our political system -democracy- is, you may think, long overdue and absolutely necessary as well rethinking our (rich countries) justice systems to make sure they do not leave out the poor we particularly want to help.

The project also fits well into the UN effort to 'revitalize' the UNGA, and it gives the UNGA the possibility to look at (and make plans to resolve) the long term issues, which is important and has obviously been missing as our very serious environment problems and some of our recurring problems like the conflict between Israel and Palestine show. Taking a little time to think about the future [with a group of unusually talented people] could definitely help the UNGA to prevent further missteps on certain important issues. And, of course, as I already mentioned, the project would **not** bind 'you' (the UNGA) in any way in your choice of the next UNSG 2 or more years (now) before the normal date; and **not** bind you in your choice of a strategy to resolve our problems, it simply gives you a chance to encourage some talented people to participate in your effort (your difficult task) to improve the life of more than 6 billions people and to encourage the next UNSG candidates to come well prepared.

# <u>B My legal cases against the US administrations and the relation with the strategy to resolve our global problems presented above.</u>

Since I have worked on the project for more than 16 years, and would play a coordination role and present the intellectual process that led me to make these proposals to the public [see BP] if you allow me, I must keep you updated on my legal cases against the US. In the attached letter [exh. 3.1] addressed to several US agencies officials and the 8 Universities Presidents I contacted in 2002, I discuss the legal and management issues of the case, but I still would like to summarize here what happened and also try to explain you why my case is significant of serious problems in the US. I entered in the US on April 16 2002 on the visa waiver program, and applied for asylum on May 14 2002 [exh. 11]. Immediately after that, on 5-29-02, I wrote to 8 US University Presidents to ask them for their (intellectual,) support to defend my asylum application (and other legal cases) [exh. 3.3] because my case was complicated [due to the computer project proposal I submitted to International organizations (exh. 10.1, exh. 10.2), and other proposals I made (like the 65 age limit for country leaders and IO chiefs,), and due to my legal case vs France and the political context in France], and unusual [a refugee from France is unusual].

After the asylum office referred my asylum application to the Immigration Court on **July 16 2002**, a hearing before an immigration judge was scheduled for January 23,

Page 3 of 8 2/5/2009 8:45:52 AM File name: letunga2-5-09

2003. But the INS granted me the 'refugee status' before this 1-23-08 hearing [at least according to the INS record at the time, I learned about it on 9-5-02 when I received the refugee verification of status (exh. 12.1), and started receiving the refugee RCA benefits soon after (exh. 12.2)]. I did not attend the 1-23-03 hearing because I was sick, but I had filed a motion to close the case [exh. 13] based on my grant of refugee status (asylum) as I was told to do by the Immigration Court clerk supervisor and by the INS duty Attorney, and I had also informed the judge that I would not attend the hearing because of my serious health problems - the immigration judge ignored my motion to close and my grant of refugee status evidenced by the refugee verification of status, and issued an in absentia decision denying the asylum [exh. 17.1]. [Two INS Duty-Attorneys had also told me at the time that I did not have to go in front of the immigration judge with my refugee verification of status, so his decision was very dishonest].

After the LA INS Status Verifier Office (Mr. Mahoney) issued me the 'verification of status' listing me as 'refugee' and allowing me to remain in the US for an 'indefinite period of time' on 9-5-02 [exh. 12.1], there were allegations by (4) INS employees that the status verifier (Mahoney) had made a mistake in issuing me this refugee-verification-of-status because supposedly the INS computer record did not list me as refugee [exh. 14.1, 14.2]. So I went back to the status verifier office on 11-13-02 to tell them that some of their colleagues had questioned their reading of the record, but several other status verifiers confirmed me that he (Mahoney) had not done any mistake, that I was given the refugee status, and that they even had the date I was granted refugee status [they said they could not give this date to me personally because the procedure requires them to give it to the DPSS after it asks for it in writing with a G845 form]. These contradictions were dishonest and had an impact on the payments of my Refugee RCA benefits (exh. 12.2) and on the immigration proceeding, of course, so I immediately described what happened and my efforts to close the case at the immigration court to an AL judge on 11-14-02 (long before the immigration court hearing).

On 2-5-03 ALJ Tolentino confirmed the validity of the refugee-verification-ofstatus as evidence of my refugee status and my right to refugee RCA benefits (exh. 15.1). His decision was found to be conformed to the law by the rehearing unit on 4-17-03 [exh. 15.2] and it was never formally appealed by the DPSS or the INS [that was informed since I also filed on 1-14-03 a complaint of employees misconduct at the INS Audit Office and forwarded to them the various decisions, see response in exh. 20]. The refugee status was never terminated either pursuant to 8 CFR 207.9 [exh. 18] by the Audit office or the District Director; and on the contrary on 12-10-04, the USCIS Nebraska National Refugee Center's directors, MM. Christian and Neufeld, also confirmed the refugee status when they issued me the A3 refugee EA card [exh. 19.1], and rightfully did **not** revoke it in 2005. This A3 refugee EA card is also an evidence of my 'refugee status' as seen in [exh. 21, p.3]. MM. Christian and Neufeld, the USCIS Directors, were both informed of the contradiction problems, of my complaint of employees misconduct at the INS audit office and of my civil lawsuits to denounce the frauds on my status, and the refugee status is justified on the merit [exh. 16]. So as you understand, there are two different legal decisions with opposing conclusions in some way that were issued at about the same time end of January 2003.

**First**, the in absentia immigration court decision [exh. 17.1] that does not address the merit of the case, was obtained by criminal means [lies, treacheries, see exh. 3.1], and does not change the fact that INS had the authority to grant me the refugee status in

2002 before the Court ruled on it; and **second**, ALJ Tolentino's decision (exh. 15.1) that confirmed the validity of the refugee verification of status as evidence of my refugee status and my right for refugee RCA benefits, was never formally appealed and became final in 2-2004. The problem was very easy to resolve, especially after I filed my complaint of employees' misconduct at the INS audit office in 2003, but the DHS had dishonest motivations I believe (see exh. 3.1 section C), and my complaint led to more treacheries, retaliation, and lies from DHS employees, DOJ (LA USA office) lawyers and even some judges to cover up the initial criminal wrongdoings. The damage increased rapidly because I became very sick and filed new complaints [there are 3 multimillions dollars lawsuits pending], and last year the ICE office issued **a full of lies** deportation order [exh.17.2] to (try to) resolve all the problems with one last (felony) treachery and lie [see petition for review (exh. 24.1), ICE office answer brief (exh. 25), and a draft of my reply (exh. 26),]. In my recent letter [exh. 3.1] I asked again the administration to show some reason and to settle the case, and I would be grateful to you if you could encourage them to end this 'madness' (lies, treacheries, crimes,) and to settle the case also.

### <u>C The recent international difficulties or actuality and Mr. Bloomberg's letter</u> to the President elect.

Before I conclude this letter, I would like to mention that our recent economical problems are the results of **grave flaws** in our international financial and economical systems, and that the recent conflict in Israel shows that we have made very little progress in some of our recurrent problems, and that the platform of reforms I present you addresses the main flaw of our economical system (its incompatibility with our political system), and gives us a new direction to resolve our recurrent problems like the conflict in Israel. It complements well the efforts started by the international community and by some nations to support the financial sector (or to support the economy in general). And it gives us a new tool to improve our international information system that is the key to any serious economical reform and to any success in this area. No country alone can significantly and efficiently improve our 'international' financial and economical systems, and this project gives us the possibility to start thinking together about a new system and to agree on some long term changes to improve everyone's life.

In a letter addressed to the President-elect dated 10-25-08, entitled 'The right way back...', Mr. Bloomberg compared the US to a 'champion' and gives his view on how to improve the situation that has developed over the years. His comparison is actually not bad, even if I have a slightly different perception of the 'champion's condition', and I hope Mr. Bloomberg won't mind that I use his example to present my perception of the situation. It is not necessarily bad to present two different points of view to Mr. Obama. For me, the problem is **not** quite (or **not only**) that the 'champion is out of top fighting form', the problem is also that the champion cheat and lies (like Marion Jones) to win reward he does not deserve and to hurt people [for example, the lies on the weapons of mass destruction to start a war in Iraq and to murder 100 000 of innocent Iraqi civilians according to some US scientists; the lies on the US environment problems and the impact they have on the poor; or the treacheries of the DOJ lawyers, of the judges and of the civil servants to hurt me, to repeatedly send me in the street, to harass me for 7 years, and finally deprive me of my chance to obtain justice,].

Second, the champion hits his opponent 'below the belt' or in the 'balls' to be more precise **where it is forbidden** and it hurts very much (or bites his opponent's hear like Mike Tyson which hurts too) [for example, water boarding, the torture at Guantanamo Bay and in the Iraqi prisons, the 2 millions homeless, the 45 millions people without health care protection, the hate crimes against asylum seekers (see exh. 22), or finally the SSA judge who lied about the immigration

Page 5 of 8 2/5/2009 8:45:52 AM File name: letunga2-5-09

refugee documents I presented to her to deny me the SSI benefits although the county doctors had already put me on disability for 2 years and I had only one dollars per months to live!]. Finally, the champion has serious psychological problems since he refuses to admit that he is addicted to the lies, to the institutionalized corruption, and to the treacheries to hurt people and to get ahead in a dishonest manner (which **is sad because he has talent also**), this is why I suggest '*Group Therapy at the UN*' where there are 'few' other champions who have similar problems [for example, when I propose to study the flaws of rich countries justice systems and to present solutions to make the systems work for the poor, or when I propose to discuss the environment problems and the poverty problems together]. Mr. Obama has recently criticized the important bonus for executives on Wall Street, so he may understand the importance of addressing the problem in more general way as I suggest to do.

My case (or what happened to me here) is significant of some grave problems in the US. For example, it points out the great weaknesses of the justice system that is so unfair and inaccessible for the poor; and it demonstrates how badly the poor are treated [as evidenced by the 2 millions homeless, and the lack of decent basic social benefits], how badly the asylum seekers are treated [see report to congress, exh. 22], and more generally how the 11 millions ('illegal') aliens are treated also [kept as slaves with no right to justice]. The US is the number one economy and it has a lot of influence around the world, so these problems affect everyone around the world, and you should all be concerned. If the US shows no respect for its poor, for the asylum seekers and more generally for the ('illegal') alien, it will show no respect for the poor abroad, and the people of other countries in general [the over-pollution per inhabitant in the US (and more generally in rich countries) is an evidence of disrespect for the people of the world and for the poor in particular, the lies on the weapons of mass destruction in Iraq to start the war also evidence a lack of sense of responsibility in the administrations, and justice problems].

Toward the end of his letter Mr. Bloomberg gives the president-elect a direction 'to bridge the partisan divide' which, I believe, could easily be applied to strengthen international cooperation and bring more peace around the world. In fact the platform I present you follows 'his guideline' in some ways. He writes: 1) First, show respect. The proposal to design a 'remuneration system' that is conformed to our political system, democracy, and that make sure everyone is compensated in relation with his or her relative contribution to society' progress, indirectly encourages us to show more respect because for example to let individuals make \$90 millions/year to play golf or \$300 millions/year to tell shocking joke on the radio when, at the same time, the US pays its President \$400 000 a year, shows that our system is disrespectful toward civil servants, the people in general and the poor in particular. Similarly, the proposal to limit at 65 the age of country leaders and IO chiefs would show respect toward the poor who live on the average 40 in some countries and toward the new generation who have so much difficulty to find work.

2) Second, built trust. The proposal to study rich country justice systems' problems and discuss solutions to improve them at the UN, including solutions to make them more efficient for and accessible to the poor, is a way to build trust between rich and poor countries [instead of having only rich countries (a) criticizing poor countries' human rights violations and justice systems' imperfection, and (b) using their critics or the weakness of their justice systems as an excuse not to reform or improve further their own systems that are very unfair for the poor]. The proposal to create a new Internet international organization is also a way to build trust among nations because the Internet

Page 6 of 8 2/5/2009 8:45:52 AM File name: letunga2-5-09

can help us to resolve our global problems, and to delay further a better use of it creates distrust and hate, especially when it is coupled with an over pollution that prevents poor countries to 'breathe'. 3) **Third, Let everyone taste victory.** The proposal to add poverty reduction objective to the Kyoto protocol to address the two problems together and to associate poor countries into the protocol will gives every country an equal share in the effort to resolve these 2 joint global problems, and will let every country taste the 'victory' when we resolve both, or as we reach intermediate successes.

### D Conclusion.

The proposal to develop further the platform of reforms to resolve our global problems (I presented you in 2005) as part of the 2011 UNSG selection process presents only benefits for the International Community and the UN since it (1) will help the UNGA in its effort to reform the UNSG selection process, and (2) will give us a new possible direction to resolve our global problems that encourages us to pay a greater attention to what is going on in rich countries and to put the Internet at the center of our strategy to resolve our global problems. It (3) will also help the UN to revitalize the UNGA and to strengthen cooperation among nations.

There is no doubt that the project is challenging, both from a technical point of view and from a human (or diplomatic) point of view, and that it represents a change in the way the UNGA is now working, but it is not impossible from both points of view either after more than 60 years of cooperation at the UN. Because of the special relation between ICANN and the US, the US has a special role to play in this project, so I wanted to present the proposal to Mr. Obama at the same time I remind everyone of you of its benefits. Mr. Obama has already taken steps to show his commitment to work more efficiently with the UN, so he may accept to support this project for everyone benefits.

I am facing serious and underserved difficulties here as explained above and more precisely in the letter I sent to several US administrations and universities [exh. 3.1]. The extreme hardship imposed on me during the past 7 years is/was not in the interest of the US, of France, or of the international community when you know that I have worked on this project for more than 16 times years, so I hope 'you' collectively, and perhaps Mr. Ban Ki-Moon and Mr. Obama personally, will denounce the lies of some civil servants to cover up their colleagues, to hurt me, and to prevent me from obtaining justice and a compensation, and will encourage the US administrations to propose a fair settlement of the dispute. I look forward to receiving your comments and hopefully your approval for the project, and remain

Yours sincerely,

#### Pierre Genevier

Ps: I would be grateful to Mr. d'Escoto Brockmann if he could make sure that every permanent representative gets this letter because I may not be able to fax it or email it to everyone, and I thank him in advance for this. If necessary, you can access this letter on the Internet to use links more easily at <a href="http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letunga2-5-09.pdf">http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letunga2-5-09.pdf</a>, please let me know if you cannot access an exhibit.

#### **Exhibits:**

Exh. 1: Letter to the UNGA dated 3-25-08,

[http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letunga3-25-08.pdf];

Exh. 2: Letter to Mr. Bloomberg dated 3-25-08

[http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letblo3-25-08.pdf]; **Exh. 3:** Letter to administration and 8 universities president (3.1), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letalladmin-uni2-5-09.pdf]; +previous letter dated 2-27-08 (3.2), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letallcalcsm2-27-08.pdf]; + 5-29-05 letter to 8 University president (3.3), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/unipres05-29-02.pdf] Exh. 4: Letter to 16 US Universities date 4-4-08, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/unial14-7-08.pdf]; **Exh. 5:** Letter of application for World Bank President 6-2-07, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letwb6-2-07.pdf]; Exh. 6: Letter of application for UNSG 6-14-06 [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/ungeneralassemb.pdf] Exh. 7: Letter to the UNGA 11-05, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/uscongress10-20.pdf] Exh. 8: Letter to the UNGA 5-05, Exh. 9: Letter to US officials dated 1-14-03; [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/gwb1-14-03.pdf]; Exh. 10: Computer Project proposal to INCO program and letters of support, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/incopropandletsup1.pdf]; + additional letters of support (46.2), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/incoletsup2.pdf]; Exh. 11: Asylum application AR, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/htm/asylumappliackreci5-14-2.pdf] **Exh. 12:** verification of status listing me as a refugee dated 9-5-02, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/verifstat9-5-02s.pdf]; + verification of RCA benefits (12.2), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/verif-benefits-9-26-02.pdf]; Exh. 13: Motion to close the immigration court case, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/icmotionclose11-25-02.pdf]; Exh. 14: Looney's letter, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/reslooney10-31-02.pdf]; Dotson letter, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/respdotson11-13-02.pdf] Exh. 15: ALJ Tolentino's decision (15.1), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/htm/aljtolentinodec2-5-03-2.pdf]; rehearing unit decision (15.2), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/rehearingdec4-17-03.pdf]; **Exh. 16:** Letter to several administrations and US Universities dated 2-27-08; [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/letallcalcsm2-27-08.pdf]; **Exh. 17:** In absentia decision of Immigration judge dated 1-23-03 (17.1.), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/immjudgedec1-23-03.pdf]; Deportation order dated 1-10-08 (17.2.) [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/htm/deportorder1-11-08.pdf], Exh. 18: 8 CFR 207.9 and CCP 1094.5, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/8CFR207-9and\_cc1094-5.pdf]; **Exh. 19:** First refugee A3 EA card dated 12-10-04 (19.1), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/pdf/eacard12-10-04+explanation.pdf]; + previous C8 EA cards 02-03 (19.2), [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/eacards02-03.pdf]: Exh. 20: INS audit office response to my complaint, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/respinsaudit4-25-03.pdf]; Exh. 21: New A03 refugee EA card dated 12-3-08, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/htm/refeacard12-3-08-2.pdf], Exh. 22 Article on the bad treatments of asylum seeker by DHS. [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/htm/artasylumseeker2-8-05.pdf]; Exh. 23: Book proposal, [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/Proposal12-14-07.pdf]. Exh. 24: Petition for review of Mr. DeMore's deportation order or appeal brief in 08-55492 [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/apbrief08-55492-10-24-08.pdf, (24.1), + list of exhibits [http://pgenevier.110mb.com/htm/Suprecordv110-20-08.htm, (24.2)]

Exh. 25: Mr. Laske answer brief in 08-55492,

[http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/answerbrief08-55492c.pdf];

Exh. 26: My draft reply brief in 08-55492,

[http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/replybrief08-55492-2-3-09.pdf];

Exh. 27: My future final reply brief in 08-55492 will be at,

[http://pgenevier.110mb.com/npdf/replybrief08-55492-2-15-09.pdf] on 2-15-09.

File name: letunga2-5-09 Page 8 of 8 2/5/2009 8:45:52 AM