

Pierre Geneviev
423 E 7th street (RM 528)
Los Angeles, CA 90014
Ph.: (213) 622-1508
Email: pierre.geneviev@laposte.net

Mrs. Jane Arellano, USCIS LA District Director	Mrs. Drew Faust, Harvard
Mr. James Hayes, ICE LA Field Office Director	Mr. Steven Sample, USC
Mr. Edmund G. Brown Jr., California Attorney General	Mr. John Hennessy, Stanford
Mr. Thomas P. O'Brien, LA US Attorney	Mr. Lee Bollinger, Columbia
Mr. J. Stephen Tidwell, A. Director, FBI-LA	Mr. Henry S. Bienen, Northwestern
Mr. Ray G. Fortner Jr., LA County Counsel	Mr. Richard Levin, Yale
Mr. John A. Wagner, California DSS Director	Mr. Scott Cowen, Tulane
Mr. Brian DeMore ICE LA Acting Director	Mr. John DeGioia, Georgetown

Los Angeles, February 27 2008

Object: Refugee status, recent development in my long standing dispute with the LA County, CA DSS and US, additional information.

Dear Madam, Dear Sir,

When I arrived in the US in 2002, I wrote to MM. Sample, Hennessy, Bollinger, Bienen, Levin, Cowen, DeGioia (and Mr. Summers, the predecessor of Mrs. Faust) to ask them for their intellectual support in my asylum application filed on 5-14-02 [see letter at <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/htm/let5-29-02.htm>, (1)], and although I was granted asylum (refugee status) to prevent me from experiencing the type of difficulties I had in France, I had great difficulties here in California and I had to file several complaints and lawsuits (some of which are still pending today) to try to resolve these problems.

Recently on January 11 2008, I received a very unfair and 'invalid' deportation determination arguing that **I never even applied for asylum** [exh. 0 of att.1, <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/pdf/deportationorder1-11-08.pdf> (2)], so, of course, I immediately presented the documents and explanations [motion to reconsider, att. 1, <http://pgeneviev.5gbfree.com/htm/motionrecremoval1-18-08.htm> (3)] justifying the unfairness of the order to Mr. DeMore and to Mrs. Arellano [and also to MM. O'Brien and Tidwell], but because I have **not** received any response to my motion to reconsider and to my request for a stay of deportation yet, and because of the grave consequences of the order, and of the joint responsibility of the various administrations involved in my problems, I would like **to bring you additional information on my case**, to talk about my work, and to ask you again to propose a fair settlement to this long lasting dispute.

My difficulties in France and my motivation to come here.

First I must come back **briefly** on my difficulties in France and on my motivation to come here. I was victim of a (very) advertised corruption scandal that lead to the Senator President of the administration I worked for (the Department of Essonne) being sent to jail in 2001 (it is very rare in France to see a Senator sent to jail, in fact I don't know of any other case than this one). I was fired in 1993, was threatened to have problems for the rest of my life although I was doing my job seriously and honestly, and later had the many difficulties I was promised I would have, but it is only in 1997 that I understood why I was fired and threatened when the press and media started talking

about the grave frauds that took place in this administration, and when its Senator-President, his wife and other well known people (including the wife of Paris Mayor) were prosecuted for these frauds. I sued the administration and explained to the judges in charge of my illegal dismissal case, and also to the prosecutor and judges in charge of the criminal appeal case against the Senator, his wife..., that I had been fired to facilitate one of the frauds – the stealing of travel expenses, and fired at the same time the President's wife started getting paid by the Department for no work.

The Department argued that it was **in the interest of the service** to suppress my computer project leader position and therefore that the dismissal was legal, and the Senator President argued that he stole the travel expense in good faith (and that he always intended to return them), but I brought proofs that the administration lied (and added a new project leader position instead of suppressing one) and that the President was unlikely to be in good faith when he stole the travel expenses. I won a first trial and a significant compensation, and the President's sentence was confirmed in appeal (the judges confirmed that he did not steal the travel expenses in good faith), but later I was victim of even more persecutions from administrations and other [was cheated of my judgment, was made owe the administration an important amount of money instead of receiving a compensation for my obvious illegal dismissal, was unfairly sent in the street, was harassed and threatened again (people waiting for me at night and trying to run into me with a car, other similar violent 'threats',),].

The additional persecutions were, I believe, due in part to the fact **(1) that** this political scandal was in the press and media almost every day for several years, and had serious political consequences in Paris (including for Mr. Chirac' party the loss of the Paris' mayor position,), **(2) that** there were other similar political scandals ('emploi fictif scandal,) that had a serious impact on the public opinion, **(3) that the Senator was strongly protected by his political party** (Mr. Chirac's party) for several years before he finally lost his immunity and was sent to jail in 2001, and **(4) that**, after being fired, I started working on a special (unemployment) project that concerned the international community [in 1997, I eventually presented to a European Cooperation program a project proposal to create a methodology and a pilot computer system to improve the transfer and integration of statistical data at the world wide level that was supported by many experts around the world]. I cannot discuss here in detail why (and how) the political scandal, my computer project proposal, some other more political proposals I made and the particular political context in France **had a great impact on my difficulties**, but you surely understand that they made my case very 'specific', and that I came here for a good or serious reason.

My asylum application and the justified refugee status.

When I applied for asylum in the US, I knew that the immigration officer (studying my asylum application), the immigration judge and/or the immigration lawyer in charge of my case were not required to be experts in French politics or on international statistical information systems (or even required to read French, I could not translate all the documents related to my case and had to attach some French documents to my application) and that they would not necessarily try very hard to understand my complicated and very specific case, so immediately after filing my asylum application, I wrote to MM Sample,, as mentioned above **(1)**, to ask them for their aid (I forwarded them a copy of my asylum application including the many attached documents and including my computer project proposal with the letters of support). I knew that they had (or could easily find) all the expertise required to understand my asylum case, and that

they, perhaps, could give the INS an expert point of view on the complicated issues, and it is possible that one of them ('you') did.

Moreover, there were high level administration officials in Washington who had heard about my project proposal and who could also understand all the aspects of my asylum application (at the State Department, Department of Commerce,). For example, the Director of the US Census Bureau (until 12-31-07), Mr. Kincannon, was the former OECD Chief Statistician (from 1992 to 2000), and his Deputy Director for some time, Mr. Haberman, was the former UN Chief Statistician (in the 90's), and both of them received my proposal in 1997 (and earlier my job applications). Mr. Kincannon even agreed with me on the phone that the strategy underlined in the proposal was the right strategy to improve the transfer and integration of statistical data. They both knew that **the experts who supported my project** [like Mr. Longva, the Norwegian Institute of Statistics Director, who was also at the end of the 90', the Chairman of the Conference of European Statisticians, a group of expert that coordinates the work of IO in this area] **were qualified** [MM. Kincannon and Haberman were permanent members of this group as UN and OECD Chiefs Statistician].

Finally, my case fitted (fits) the 9th Circuit Appeal Court standard justifying a refugee status [I received threats that have been confirmed during 8 years before I left; I suffered a '***substantial economic deprivation***' ('*the absolute inability to support one's family is not required*' for the 9th Circ. Court, but was obvious in my case); I was discriminated and harassed in conjunction with other harms, and was even victim of a degrading treatment which qualifies as torture under the European Convention of Human Rights, the source of persecution was the government mostly,] and my application and **testimony met the 'credibility or plausibility determination standard'** of the 9th Circ. Appeal Court [I applied for asylum in other countries (Switzerland and Belgium) before applying in the US, I presented a lot of details on the political scandal I was victim of (newspapers articles,) and on my project proposal, this political scandal and my project proposal made my case very specific,]. So although I don't know exactly the detail of what happened [exactly when the refugee status was granted, by whom, when was the INS computer record altered, by whom,], **the refugee status was meaningful (deserved)**, and conformed with existing legal standards, and I could easily understand why some experts would have supported it, why the INS gave it to me [possibly (or most probably) the INS Commissioner, or the Attorney General, Mr. Ashcroft, (or even the LA INS District Director) with experts advices from possibly the DOC, SD, and/or a university] and why the refugee status was never terminated by the USCIS LA District Director.

The wrongdoings at the INS, LA County, CADSS, and SSA, the legal proceedings, and the various efforts I made to resolve the problems

In such a context the problems of contradictions on my status at the INS were very unfair (even criminal) and **I could not pretend or say** that 'it was OK' when two groups of INS employees (some of them working less than 40 meters apart) disagreed **on the reading of a computer record**, and I believe you (the DHS managers,) should be very concerned by this problem too for many reasons. The INS Attorney in charge of my case and the 3 other INS employees who argued that **the status verifier had done a mistake in reading the record (which several status verifiers denied)** and who refused to close my case **on this ground** at the Immigration Court **should have asked the INS District Director to use his/her authority to say that a refugee status was granted by mistake (pursuant to 8 CFR 207.8) (if it had been) to rule on the issue.** When 'they' lied (because there is little doubt they did), they abused 'their power', and they also, I believe, refused to admit that some civil servants higher in the INS hierarchy could be more qualified, could be better informed on the issues of my application, and therefore could understand my case better

and have a better judgment on it than them; they, I believe, also falsely maintained a legal proceeding which is a crime.

Later the County and DSS employees who violated MPP articles, used an altered verification of status (knowing it to be altered), and also argued that I was not a refugee, even after ALJ Tolentino rendered his decision confirming my refugee status, **behaved very badly** also because they deprived me of basic social benefits necessary to survive and of a chance to have a Superior Court judge review the validity of my refugee documents, and because they hurt me greatly and created me a very grave prejudice. Their argument that the problems on my status **concerned only the INS (USCIS)** was incorrect, extremely dishonest and very prejudicial - it is not because some **INS** employees behave badly that the LA County and DSS **must ignore an official INS document issued through an official regulation procedure and a Court order that corrects the INS employees bad behavior** to send me in the street, to obstruct justice and to harass me. The County and DSS (and later the SSA) could have prevented and **had the responsibility** to prevent the damage I suffered [being sent so many times in the streets, living with absolutely no money to improve my health and diet while being on disability (for more than 2 years I don't have \$2 every month to buy toothpaste, warm or supplemental food to improve my health, to wash my clothes or to do anything..., although I have been put on disability by county mandated doctors,), being unable to find jobs and to resettle,].

Finally I **cannot** be given **any** responsibility in the various problems and in the long delay in deciding on an investigation or a resolution of the case because **I made many efforts since October 2002 to find (legal or other) solutions to the problems** [in 11-2002 I immediately filed an administrative complaint to explain the contradiction on my status and the unfair end of RCA benefits; in 01-2003 I filed a complaint of employees misconduct at the INS; I wrote to the administrations' managers and to the governor; I filed administrative claims; I filed several civil lawsuits and even filed criminal complaints, I wrote to Senator Feinstein who also wrote to the DHS for me without success, and last year wrote again the DHS and DOJ Chiefs (<http://pgenevier.5gbfree.com/htm/lettattgen.htm> - (4)), ; my last criminal complaint letter dated 12-14-07 (exh. 6 of att. 1, <http://pgenevier.5gbfree.com/htm/fbiusdojcomp12-14-07.htm> - (5)) and the motion to reconsider (att. 1, <http://pgenevier.5gbfree.com/htm/motionrecremoval1-18-08.htm> - (6)) summarize the problems and efforts I made,]; **all of these efforts should have triggered some sorts of investigation and/or a resolution of the problems**, but instead several of these actions lead to additional administrations' wrongdoings [from some of the judges who unfairly delayed the proceedings, ignored obvious legal authority or even lied and cheated to avoid discovery..., from various administrations' lawyers who avoided addressing the issues and delayed the resolution of the problems (with unfair legal position, with lies,), or with the refusal to use the ADR,] and aggravated my damage.

The recent deportation order, my research work, and my objective or hopes.

You surely understand that the repeated violations of regulation (MPP, 8 CFR, 20 CFR,) articles and procedures, the violation of criminal statutes, and the long delay in resolving the problem ending up by **the invalid deportation order I received recently (depriving me of any chance to obtain justice and a compensation, or even to remain here) are very wrong and extremely dishonest**. On one hand, 'you' give me asylum and 'official' refugee documents [[verification of status](#), , [refugee A03 EA Card](#), [other](#)], and, on the other hand, 'you' deprive me of all the privileges associated with this status, and also of the possibility to obtain any form of justice, it is like saying: ***'you are granted asylum if you accept to be a slave, but, if not or if you dare to ask for the refugee privileges or to press for any form of justice, we deport you without even an honest decision or possibility to a hearing!'*** [Some US scientists estimated that hundreds of thousand of innocent civilians died during

the recent Iraq invasion and the short war that followed, that means that hundreds of thousand of innocent people **were murdered** by the US soldiers because of the lies on the weapons of mass destruction, so I know the US administration can lie and cheat for 6 or 10 years to kill a French refugee without being accountable, and I did not come here to challenge you to be dishonest and to hurt me, **I did not come here either to be a slave or an illegal alien, I came here to obtain protection and justice** because I had very serious problems in France, your law allows me to do it and my asylum application was not frivolous]. If 'you' don't even recognize the validity of the immigration documents 'you' give to alien (through official procedures), why do 'you' bother to ask alien to follow precise procedure or why do 'you' simply set up official immigration procedures (!), just 'tell' the police and all immigration employees that they can arrest and deport any alien (or anybody) they want to deport; 'tell' the social workers that they can give refugee benefits to whom ever they want and deny them when ever they want (!). And if 'you' think that the Director on the National Refugee Center cannot tell the difference between **a refugee** and **a tourist**, or that he does not have a clue of what an evidence of a refugee status looks like, 'do' not bother to ask refugees and asylees to contact the DHS Nebraska refugee center for EA Card, just 'let' them go around without paper and EA cards!]

[Switzerland and Belgium unfairly denied me asylum, I believe, and I explained why in my asylum application, **but, at least, they did not torture me during 6 years** by giving me refugee documents and repeatedly sending me in the street and hurting me by pretending that these documents were not valid and finally by deporting me on the ground that I never even applied for asylum(!)].

The grant of asylum is **a discretionary decision**, I believe, [meaning that even if I had come here with obvious proofs that the French government had used 'waterboarding' on me, then burn my feet, and finally cut both my arms and legs and threatened me to take my eyes and hears as soon as I recover from the amputation, you could still (legally) deny me asylum,], so 'you' don't (**did** not) need to lie and to deport me on the ground that I never applied for asylum (or to lie about what it is written on your INS computer record as the 4 INS employee did in 2002); but because of this '*discretionary property*', lying about my immigration status or ignoring my official refugee documents to repeatedly send me in the street and make me experience other harm and economic deprivation for 5 years, and then coming up with an deportation determination arguing that I never applied for asylum **is very wrong**, and **it should be of great concern to you also because your law tries to prevent this and because all this does show not only serious inter-agencies problems, but also serious internal problems** [when the Director of the DHS National Refugee Center agrees that an alien is a refugee and at the same time, the DHS LA ICE office argues that the same alien **has never applied for asylum**, something is very wrong in your organization and systems, this is the US, richest country, that sends robots role on the planet Mars!]. **So I must ask you again to correct all the errors and to financially compensate me for the great difficulties I encountered** [for me because for the **past 15 years** I have suffered a great prejudice here and in France... and for you because even if the US have had a questionable behavior lately (torture, Iraq war based on lies,...), it is a very rich country with laws (even if imperfect) and it should not openly **hurt a poor individual like this**].

I followed a rigorous intellectual process to design my 'unemployed' computer project proposal, and because of the special context of my dismissal, I tried to make sure it is/was good for every one on the planet – the experts' letters of support I received confirm it is [see proposal and letters at <http://pgenevier.5gbfree.com/indprop.htm> (7)], but instead of receiving any form of help (financial help or a job,), I was victim of all sorts of obvious persecutions from administrations here and in France (as I explained and you can see here), and International Organizations did not help me either. During the past several years I tried to analyze these problems [it was my responsibility as the coordinator of the project and as an unemployed to do so, I believe], I wrote regularly to politicians and IOs leaders to present them my analysis and to discuss possible solutions, and in 11-2005 I eventually came up with a comprehensive platform of reforms [<http://pgenevier.5gbfree.com/htm/let11-29-05.htm> (8)] that could help us to resolve some of our global problems [including some of the underlying problems that prevented the realization of such a useful project]. In 2006 I also offered my service for the post of UNSG [see letter to the UN General Assembly <http://pgenevier.5gbfree.com/htm/let6-14-06.htm> (9)] and of World Bank President in 2007 [<http://pgenevier.5gbfree.com/htm/letwb6-2->

Page 5 of 6 1/2/2009 10:30:03 AM

[07.htm](#) (10)], and I believe the next step in my work should be (**apart from urgently improving my health**) to write a book to explain what happened to me and to describe my proposals more clearly [BP], and **eventually (if the book is judged serious)** to continue my work and possibly write a more detailed platform of reforms to resolve our global problems that could be the basis of a new application for the post of UNSG in 2011, so I am **not** a terrorist, or someone who does not care about what is going here or in the world and giving me a financial compensation would not result in me using the compensation to blow up the world trade center (again) or to rob the Bank of England.

Conclusion.

To conclude, the repeated violations of regulations articles and procedures, the fraudulent use of an altered immigration document, the violation of criminal statutes (5), the unfair contradictions on my immigration status **-over more than 5 years-** and the recent invalid and inappropriately motivated deportation determination **points out serious problems in 'your administrations' [internal and inter-agencies problems]**, and they also created me great difficulties and a great prejudice, so **they should be of great concern to you** and they justify a financial compensation.

MM. Sample, Hennessy, Bollinger, Bienen, Levin, Cowen, DeGioia, (and Mrs. Faust) you are witness that I applied for asylum and asked for you help in my asylum application in 2002 and that the deportation is therefore extremely dishonest, so I would be grateful to you if you could use your ('intellectual and moral') influence (if any) to help find a fair solution to the grave problems I had/have here, and perhaps explain to the administrations that the proposals I have made (computer project proposal or others) and that the intellectual path I followed to make these proposals are not so easy to make and to follow, and deserve some 'encouragement' instead of repeated 'punitions'.

Mrs. Arellano, Mr. Hayes, and Mr. DeMore (again) I would be grateful to if you could immediately cancel the unfair deportation order and grant me my application to adjust to permanent resident status (that has been pending for almost 5 years now) based on my refugee documents, and if you and **the others administration managers, MM. Fortner, Brown, Wagner, O'Brien**, could propose a fair solution to the various problems I had that includes the payment of a financial compensation for the grave damage I suffered over 6 years.

I look forward to hearing from you and remain
Yours sincerely,

Pierre Geneviev

Att. 1: Motion to reconsider deportation determination (70 pages) for those of you who did not receive yet.
PS: I will send this letter by fax or by email depending on the possibility I have, but if you need me to send you additional or missing documents or Internet links, please write me a short email.